



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,223	11/23/1999	Steven Dardinski	0102314-00054	4797
21125	7590	04/13/2004	EXAMINER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			INGBERG, TODD D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,223	11/23/1999	Steven Dardinski	0102314-00054	4797

7590 10/08/2003

CHOATE HALL & STEWART
EXCHANGE PLACE
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 021092891

EXAMINER

INGBERG, TODD D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2124

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

12
Resent

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/448,223	DARDINSKI ET AL.
	Examiner Todd Ingberg	Art Unit 2124

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-134 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-134 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 84 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 134 have been examined.

Continuation Information

1. The continuation information is noted. Effective filing date remains November 23, 1999.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Applicant has submitted a large IDS. On initial inspection the Examiner did not see the relevance of the documents. If the Applicant feels particular documents are relevant to the invention please indicate the specific document(s).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 84 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is dependent on itself. Examiner presumed Applicant meant it was dependent on claim 83. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2124

Common Knowledge of Object Oriented Technology

4. The following terms and concepts are inherent in object oriented technology.

Classes

Objects

Inheritance (link representing relationships)

Aggregation

Child/parent (derived/base) relationship

Cardinality (description of optional relationship)

Attributes

Methods

Messaging

These basic terms should easily be recognizable to a Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art (PHOSITA) at the time of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1 - 134 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Real-Time Innovations, ControlShell version 6.0 User's Manual published January 1999.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 1

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of objects, each object representing an entity, and each object being associated with an object type, at least one object ("connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the connection object.

Examiner's Response

ControlShell is an object oriented software development environment for programming/configuring control systems (overview Chapters 1 - 4). Where linking objects (3-23) and defined types (3-25) with relationships is inherent in Object modeling. Objects are made of objects (3.4.1 - decompose into smaller objects). The model is verified (page 1-13, 8-22 and 9-36 to 9-39)

Claim 2

Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the connection object specifies a role that one or more object types may serve in a relationship, the roles including

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

In Claim 1 inheritance and pages 13-33.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 3

Apparatus according to claim 2, wherein one or more object types are associated in a hierarchical relationship, and wherein the apparatus validates a potential relationship between two objects via the existence of a connection object identifying as permissible a relationship between any of (i) object types associated with those two objects, and (ii) object types that are hierarchically related to the object types associated with those two objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 4

Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 5

Apparatus according to claim 4, wherein the connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 6

Apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 7

Apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of a weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1. The inheritance structure of object model meets the weighted term of the Specification.

Claim 8

Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

The Applicant has claimed messaging between objects. Messaging in dataflow among objects pages 3-10 to 3-16.

Claim 9

Apparatus according to claim 8, wherein the connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection for claim 1.

Claim 10

Apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

Limit on number of relationships page 1-13 box 5.

Claim 11

Apparatus according to claim 9, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

Limit on number of relationships page 1-13 box 5.

Claim 12

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of objects, each object representing an entity, and each object being associated with an object type, at least one object ("connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus at least initially validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the connection object, the connection object identifying validated relationships established between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 13

Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein the connection object specifies a role that an object serves in a relationship with any of itself and another object, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 2.

Claim 14

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein an object represents an entity within any of (i) a controlled system, (ii) the control system, (iii) a control level hierarchy, and (iv) the apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

Page 1-11.

Claim 15

Apparatus according to claim 14, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

Chapter 5 ControlShell Editor - page 5-33 graphical display.

Claim 16

Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 4.

Claim 17

Apparatus according to claim 16, wherein the connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

See the rejection for claim 5.

Claim 18

Apparatus according to claim 17, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 6.

Claim 19

Apparatus according to claim 17, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of a weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity in weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 7.

Claim 20

Apparatus according to claim 12, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 8.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 21

Apparatus according to claim 20, wherein the connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 9.

Claim 22

Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 23

Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 11.

Claim 24

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: one or more objects, each object representing an entity and each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, at least one object ("second connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with the types identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See rejection for claim 1.

Claim 25

Apparatus according to claim 24, wherein the apparatus establishes relationships between the parameters of objects, which objects have been selected by a user.

Examiner's Response

See relationships as per rejection for claim 1.

Claim 26

Apparatus according to claim 25, wherein the apparatus establishes relationships between the parameters of objects, which objects that have been selected by the user for potential relationship and between which objects such potential relationship has been validated.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

See rejection for claim 1.

Claim 27

Apparatus according to claim 26, each object is associated with an object type, and wherein the apparatus validates a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by a first connection object.

Examiner's Response

Validation as per claim 1.

Claim 28

Apparatus according to claim 24, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including
(i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
(ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 29

Apparatus according to claim 24, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of alcim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 30

Apparatus according to claim 24, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See rejection as per claim 8. Messaging invokes methods (getters and setters) inherent in Object Technology.

Claim 31

Apparatus according to claim 30, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 9.

Claim 32

Apparatus according to claim 31, wherein the second connection object identifies, for a parameter that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 33

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 31, wherein the second connection object identifies, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 11.

Claim 34

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: one or more objects, each object representing an entity and each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, at least one object ("second connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with the, types identified by the second connection object, the second connection object identifying validated relationships established between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 35

Apparatus according to claim 34, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that a parameter serves in a relationship with any of itself and another parameter, the roles

Art Unit: 2124

including

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

As per the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 36

Apparatus according to claim 34, wherein an object represents an entity within any of

- (i) a controlled system,
- (ii) the control system,
- (iii) a control level hierarchy, and
- (iv) the apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection as per claim 1.

Claim 37

Apparatus according to claim 36, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

Chapter 8 and page 1-4 Stethoscope CsdBase connection.

Claim 38

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 37, wherein each parameter has one or more attributes, and wherein the attributes of a parameter define any of the following with the respect to the characteristic to which the parameter pertains: name, grouping, display label, data type, behavior help information, edit type, data value range, data value, formula definition, and display format.

Examiner's Response

Chapter 4 provides a tour of ControlShell. Parameter overview is on page 4-3 and shown in figure 4-2. The definitions of each parameter is on the parameter block (sam, sco, fsm, msg). Help, page 4-10 to 4-11, Online documentation.

Claim 39

Apparatus according to claim 34, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

Page 4-3 shows the parameters in the figure 4-2. The number of parameters by type are visible such as (sam (5) sco (3) fsm (5) and msg (1).

Claim 40

Apparatus according to claim 34, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 41

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 40, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection as per claim 1.

Claim 42

Apparatus according to claim 41, wherein the second connection object identifies, for a parameter that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 10.

Claim 43

Apparatus according to claim 41, wherein the second connection object identifies, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 10.

Claim 44

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising:
one or more objects, each representing an entity and each being associated with an object type,

Art Unit: 2124

each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, at least one object ("first connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, at least one object ("second connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the first connection object, and the apparatus establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects for which a potential relationship has been validated, the relationship between parameters being established by comparing the types of those parameters with the types identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1 object modeling is not limited to connecting a single object.

Claim 45

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein the apparatus establishes validates a potential relationship between objects selected by a user.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 25.

Claim 46

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein the first connection object specifies a role that one or more object types may serve in a relationship, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship, and the second connection object specifies a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 28.

Claim 47

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 29.

Claim 48

Apparatus according to claim 47, wherein the first connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 49

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 48, wherein the first connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 50

Apparatus according to claim 48, wherein the first connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and the first connection object identifies, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity in weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 51

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 52

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus according to claim 51, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 31.

Claim 53

Apparatus according to claim 52, wherein the second connection object identifies, for; parameter that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 32.

Claim 54

Apparatus according to claim 52, wherein the second connection object identifies, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 33.

Claim 55

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein the first connection object identifying validated relationships established between objet and the second connection object identifying validated relationships established between parameters.

Art Unit: 2124

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 26.

Claim 56

Apparatus according to claim 44, wherein an object represents an entity within any of

- (i) a controlled system,
- (ii) the control system,
- (iii) a control level hierarchy, and
- (iv) the apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 14.

Claim 57

Apparatus according to claim 56, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 15.

Claim 58

Apparatus according to claim 57, wherein each parameter has one or more attributes, and wherein the attributes of a parameter define any of the following with the respect to the characteristic to

Art Unit: 2124

which the parameter pertains: name, grouping, display label, data type, behavior, help information, edit type, data value range, data value, formula definition, and display format.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 38.

Claim 59

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of representing a plurality of entities with objects, each being associated with an object type, identifying, with at least one object ("connection" object), permissible combinations of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 60

a method according to claim 59, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that one or more object types may serve in a relationship, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 2.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 61

a method according to claim 60, comprising the steps of associating one or more objects in a hierarchical relationship, and wherein validating a potential relationship between two objects via the existence of a connection object identifying as permissible a relationship between any of

- (i) object types associated with those two objects, and
- (ii) object types that are hierarchically related to the object types associated with those two objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 62

a method according to claim 59, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 4.

Claim 63

a method according to claim 62, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 5.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 64

a method according to claim 63, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 6.

Claim 65

a method according to claim 63, comprising the steps of identifying, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of a weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity in weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 7.

Claim 66

a method according to claim 59, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 8.

Claim 67

Art Unit: 2124

a method according to claim 66, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 9.

Claim 68

a method according to claim 67, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 69

a method according to claim 67, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 11.

Claim 70

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of: representing a plurality of entities with objects, each being associated with an object type, identifying, with at

Art Unit: 2124

least one object ("connection" object), permissible combinations of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, at least initially validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the connection object, identifying, with the connection object, validated relationships established between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 71

a method according to claim 70, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that an object serves in a relationship with any of itself and another object, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 2.

Claim 72

a method according to claim 70, wherein an object represents an entity within any of (i) a controlled system, (ii) the control system, (iii) a control level hierarchy, and (iv) an apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 14.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 73

a method according to claim 72, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 15.

Claim 74

a method according to claim 70, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 4.

Claim 75

a method according to claim 74, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 5.

Claim 76

Art Unit: 2124

a method according to claim 75, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 6.

Claim 77

a method according to claim 75, comprising the steps of identifying, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of a weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity in weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 7.

Claim 78

a method according to claim 70, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 8.

Claim 79

Art Unit: 2124

a method according to claim 78, comprising the step of specifying, with the connection object, a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 9.

Claim 80

a method according to claim 79, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 81

a method according to claim 79, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that object may support with objects that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 11.

Claim 82

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of: representing one or more entities with objects, each object being associated with one or more parameters that

Art Unit: 2124

pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, identifying, with at least one object ("second connection" object), permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with the types identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1 - object modeling is not limited to connecting one object.

Claim 83

a method according to claim 82, comprising the step of establishing relationships between the parameters of objects that have been selected by a user.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 25.

Claim 84

a method according to claim 84, comprising the step of establishing relationships between the parameters of objects that have been selected by the user for potential relationship and between which such potential relationship has been validated.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 26.

Claim 85

Art Unit: 2124

a method according to claim 84, wherein each object is associated with an object type, the method comprising the step of establishing a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by a first connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 27.

Claim 86

a method according to claim 82, comprising the step of specifying, with the second connection object, a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including
(i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
(ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 28.

Claim 87

a method according to claim 82, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 29.

Claim 88

a method according to claim 82, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a

Art Unit: 2124

peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 89

a method according to claim 88, comprising the step of specifying, with the second connection object, a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 31.

Claim 90

a method according to claim 89, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that may serve in source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 32.

Claim 91

a method according to claim 89, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

See the rejection for claim 33.

Claim 92

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of: representing one or more entities with objects, each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, identifying, with at least one object ("second connection" object), permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with the types identified by the second connection object, identifying, with the second connection object, validated relationships established between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 34.

Claim 93

a method according to claim 92, comprising the step of specifying, with the second connection object, a role that a parameter serves in a relationship with any of itself and another parameter, the roles including

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

See the rejection for claim 35.

Claim 94

a method according to claim 92, wherein an object represents an entity within any of

- (i) a controlled system,
- (ii) the control system,
- (iii) a control level hierarchy, and
- (iv) an apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 36.

Claim 95

a method according to claim 94, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 37.

Claim 96

a method according to claim 95, wherein each parameter has one or more attributes, and wherein the attributes of a parameter define any of the following with the respect to the characteristic to which the parameter pertains: name, grouping, display label, data type, behavior, help information, edit type, data value range, data value, formula definition, and display format.

Art Unit: 2124

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 38.

Claim 97

a method according to claim 92, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 39.

Claim 98

a method according to claim 92, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 24.

Claim 99

a method according to claim 98, comprising the step of specifying, with the second connection object, a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 41.

Claim 100

a method according to claim 99, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that

Art Unit: 2124

may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 42.

Claim 101

a method according to claim 99, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 43.

Claim 102

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of: representing one or more entities with objects, each being associated with an object type, each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, identify, at least one object ("first connection" object), permissible combination of object types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, identifying, with at least one object ("second connection" object), permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship,

Art Unit: 2124

validating a potential relationship between objects by comparing the object types with which they are associated with permissible relationships identified by the first connection object, and establishing a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects for which a potential relationship has been validated, the relationship between parameters being established by comparing the types of those parameters with the types identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1 - object modeling is not limited to connection one object.

Claim 103

a method according to claim 102, comprising the step of establishing validates a potential relationship between objects selected by a user.

Examiner's Response

See validation of claim 1.

Claim 104

a method according to claim 102, comprising the steps of specifying, with the first connection object, a role that one or more object types may serve in a relationship, the roles including

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship, and

Art Unit: 2124

specifying, with the second connection object, a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 105

a method according to claim 102, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 106

a method according to claim 105, comprising the step of specifying, with the first connection object, a role that an object may serve in a parent/child relationship, the roles including any of a parent role and a child role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 107

a method according to claim 106, comprising the step of identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Art Unit: 2124

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 108

a method according to claim 106, wherein identifying, for an object that may serve in a child role, any of a weight and other quantitative attribute (collectively, "weight") associated with that object, and identifying, for an object that may serve in a parent role, a capacity in weight of that object to support relationships with objects that serve in a child role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 109

a method according to claim 102, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 110

a method according to claim 109, comprising the step of specifying, with the second connection object, a role that an object may serve in a source/sink relationship, the roles including any of a source role and a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 111

a method according to claim 110, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that may serve in a source role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a sink role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 10.

Claim 112

a method according to claim 110, comprising the step of identifying, for a parameter that may serve in a sink role, any of a minimum and maximum number of relationships that parameter may establish with parameters that serve in a source role.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 10.

Claim 113

a method according to claim 102, wherein identifying, with the first connection object, validated relationships established between objects, and identifying, with the second connection object, validated relationships established between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 114

a method according to claim 102, wherein an object represents an entity within any of

Art Unit: 2124

- (i) a controlled system,
- (ii) the control system,
- (iii) a control level hierarchy, and
- (iv) an apparatus for configuring the control system.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 1.

Claim 115

a method according to claim 114, wherein an entity includes any of a field device, control processor, block, loop, compound, historian, object type category, display placeholder, graphical display entity, and report.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of claim 15.

Claim 116

a method according to claim 115, wherein each parameter has one or more attributes, and wherein the attributes of a parameter define any of the following with the respect to the characteristic to which the parameter pertains: name, grouping, display label, data type, behavior, help information, edit type, data value range, data value, formula definition, and display format.

Examiner's Response

See rejection of 38

Claim 117

Art Unit: 2124

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: one or more objects, each object representing an entity and each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, at least one object ("second connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, the apparatus validating a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with permissible relationships identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1. Object model not limited to modeling and connecting a single object as per page 4-2 figure 4.1.

Claim 118

Apparatus according to claim 117, wherein the apparatus establishes relationships between the parameters selected by a user through any of a drag-and-drop operation, menu operation, or other operation.

Examiner's Response

See pages 5-19 - 5-23 (drag and paste and other features)

Claim 119

Apparatus according to claim 117, wherein the second connection object specifies a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including

Art Unit: 2124

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 28.

Claim 120

Apparatus according to claim 117, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 29.

Claim 121

Apparatus according to claim 117, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 122

a method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of: representing one or more entities with objects, each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, identifying, with at least one object ("second connection" object), permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a

Art Unit: 2124

source/sink relationship, and other relationship, validating a relationship between one or more parameters of one or more objects by comparing the types of those parameters with permissible relationships identified by the second connection object.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 1.

Claim 123

Method according to claim 122, comprising establishing relationships between parameters selected by a user through any of a drag-and-drop operation, menu operation, or other operation.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 118.

Claim 124

Method according to claim 122, comprising specifying, with the second connection object, a role that one or more parameter types may serve in a relationship, the roles including

- (i) any of source and sink in a source/sink relationship, and
- (ii) any of parent and child in a parent/child relationship.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 28.

Claim 125

Method according to claim 122, wherein the parent/child relationship is indicative of any

Art Unit: 2124

of a hierarchical and a containment relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 29.

Claim 126

Method according to claim 122, wherein the source/sink relationship is indicative of a peer-to-peer relationship between parameters.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 30.

Claim 127

Apparatus for configuring a process control system, the apparatus comprising: one or more objects, each object representing an entity and each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, at least one object ("second connection" object) identifying permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, and the apparatus responding to establishment of a primary relationship ("master" relationship) between one or more parameters of one or more objects by establishing one or more secondary relationships ("slave" relationships) between parameters of those objects.

Examiner's Response

Art Unit: 2124

The limitations of claim 1 teach the present limitaitons. The master/slave relationship where the relationship is automatically updated or destroyed when the corresponding master connection is updated or destroyed. The rejection is directed toward the multiple hierachial link among objects. Where once the link is broken the objects remain but with out the relationhsip the connections of the modeling tool no longer permit the normal actions permitted by the connection. Chapter 3 provides an overview of the methodology of the connections and interfaces among COGs.

Claim 128

Apparatus according to claim 127, wherein the slave relationships are established between parameters related to those defining the master relationship.

Examiner's Response

Parameters are defined as per Chapter 4 specifically page 4-3 Figure 4.2 shows the parameters.

Claim 129

Apparatus according to claim 128, wherein the apparatus establishes the master relationship between the parameters selected by a user through any of a drag-and-drop operation, menu operation, or other operation.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 118.

Claim 130

Apparatus according to claim 128, wherein the apparatus any of modifies and destroys a slave relationship upon any of modification and destruction of a corresponding master relationship.

Art Unit: 2124

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 127.

Claim 131

Method for configuring a control system, the method comprising the steps of representing one or more entities with objects, each object being associated with one or more parameters that pertain to characteristics of the entity represented by the object, each parameter being associated with a parameter type, identifying, with at least one object ("second connection" object), permissible combinations of parameter types that can form any of a parent/child relationship, a source/sink relationship, and other relationship, responding to establishment of a primary relationship ("master" relationship) between one or more parameters of one or more objects by establishing one or more secondary relationships ("slave" relationships) between parameters of those objects.

Examiner's Response

See the rejection for claim 127. Object modeling is no limited to definition of a single object (page 4-2, Figure 4.1).

Claim 132

Method according to claim 131, comprising the step of establishing slave relationships between parameters related to those defining the master relationship.

Examiner's Response

See rejection for claim 128.

Claim 133

Art Unit: 2124

Method according to claim 132, comprising the step of establishing the master relationship between the parameters selected by a user through any of a drag-and-drop operation, menu operation, or other operation.

Examiner's Response

See rejection for 118.

Claim 134

Method according to claim 132, comprising the step of any of modifying and destroying a slave relationship upon any of modification and destruction of a corresponding master relationship.

Examiner's Response

See rejection for claim 127.

Correspondence Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Todd Ingberg** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-9775**. The Examiner is working a Maxi-Flex schedule and can be reached Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the **Examiner's Supervisor, Kakali Chaki** be reached at **(703)305-9662**. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: **Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231**, or Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia, (Receptionist located on the fourth floor)**, or faxed. The following fax numbers apply:

Art Unit: 2124

Official (703) 872-9306

Non Official/ Draft (703) 746 -7240

After Final (703) 746 - 7238

Todd Ingberg

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2124

October 1, 2003